**Appendix 2**

**Suggested CEB response to the Scrutiny Committee’s recommendations on the City Centre Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) provided by the Board Member for Crime, Community Safety and Licensing**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Recommendation*** | ***Agreed? (Y / N / In part)*** | ***Comment*** |
| 1. That the design and placing of signage is considered by a cross-party group of members and that every effort is made to remove obsolete signage across the city. | Y | I welcome this recommendation and will ask officers to convene a cross-party group of members to develop appropriate signage in suitable locations, and ensure obsolete signage is removed. |
| 2. That full consideration is given to any further views expressed by Liberty in relation to the revised draft PSPO. | Y | A letter has been received from Liberty since the scrutiny meeting. The letter will be reported to the Board. |
| 3. That the City Executive Board notes that there was no consensus in the Scrutiny Committee or PSPO Panel for the inclusion at this stage of the behaviours set out in sections 1a and 1e of the draft PSPO. | Y | Noted. |
| 4. That the City Executive Board inserts the word “reasonably” before the word “perceived” in section 1a of the draft PSPO. | Y | Accepted. |
| 5. That the City Executive Board should clarify and define the meaning of the word “near” in section 1a of the draft PSPO to protect and assist officers enforcing the order.  | N | Not accepted. ‘Near’ is a word which is easily understood, which does not require further definition. It will be a matter of fact as to whether the prohibition is engaged. |